



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/689,611	10/22/2003	Melchor D. Castellon	M5590.0010 4816		
75	90 06/09/2005	EXAMINER			
DICKSTEIN S	SHAPIRO MORIN & O	REDMAN, JERRY E			
41st Floor 1177 Avenue of	f the Americas		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New York, NY 10036-2714			3634		

DATE MAILED: 06/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/689,611	CASTELLON, MELCHOR D.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jerry Redman	3634		

	Jerry	Redman	3634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATI	ON IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving rep tice of	olies: (1) an amendment, a Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	of the f	inal rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ater than (b). ONL	n SIX MONTHS from the maili Y CHECK BOX (b) WHEN Th	ng date of the final rejecti	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date			136(a) and the appropria	ita avtancian foa
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension shortene than th	and the corresponding amount d statutory period for reply or	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion th	nereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS	L.A.22	4 . 46		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further control (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsidera			ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter forn	n for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresp	onding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13			ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			•	_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>4 and 7-18</u> .			vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected. <u>4 and 7-76.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercon	ne <u>all</u> rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. $\square$ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the	status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but the applicant's arguments are more limiting than that of	the clai	ims.		nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/S	6B/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
			Jerry Redman	ń
			Primary Examin	ner

Z